

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D. C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/890,804	10/01/2001	Holger Hauptmann	0475-0193P	6560	
2292	7590 04/01/2002				
BIRCH STE	BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A		
FALLS CHUI	RCH, VA 22040-0747				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	9	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
-		09/890,804	HAUPTMANN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the c ver sheet with	h the correspondence address		
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main displacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIfule. cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	 •			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)				
3) 🗌 Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undo on of Claims	wance except for formal matte er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-13$ is/are pending in the applicati	on.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requirement.			
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to				
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.			
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in App	olication No		
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	· ·			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language p				
15)∏ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome				
Attachment(:	•	_			
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8		

Application/Control Number: 09/890,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

a) process wherein the supporting material and firing material have same physical

properties;

b) process wherein the supporting material and firing material have different physical

properties;

c) process wherein gas streams keep the items floating; and

d) process wherein magnetic field keeps the items floating

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

species a) claim 6

species b) claim 10

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/890,804

Art Unit: 1731

species c) claim 11 species d) claim 12

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-5 and 13.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Claim 1 is either obvious or anticipated by EP 0530370 or EP 0583620. Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the two inventions does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore, restriction is appropriate.

4. A telephone call was made to Andrew Meikle on February 26, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1731

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is 703-308-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm, but works a flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

caf March 27, 2002